IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-CV-619-FDW-DCK

CHARLES BENZING,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) ORDER
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH)
CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY (NCDPS), KAREY SCOTT)
TREADWAY, AMY RUNYAN SWEATT, and TASHA DESHAWN LOCKRIDGE,)
Defendants.)
Defendants.)

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on *pro se* Plaintiff's "Motion To Compel Discovery Response" (Document No. 27) filed September 18, 2018. This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and is ripe for review. Having carefully considered the motion, the record, and applicable authority, the undersigned will <u>deny</u> the motion.

By the pending motion, *pro se* Plaintiff seeks to compel Defendants' production of "a full and complete copy of the probation file for Charles James Benzing." (Document No. 27, p. 1). "Defendants' Response To Plaintiff's Motion To Compel Discovery Response" (Document No. 28) was filed on October 2, 2018. "Defendant's Response..." states in pertinent part that "with the filing of this response Defendants have supplied Plaintiff with a complete copy of his probation file as provided by Defendant Sweatt to undersigned counsel." (Document No. 28, p. 2). To date, Plaintiff has not filed a reply brief in support of his motion, or otherwise objected to or disputed Defendants' production of the documents he requested. See Local Rule 7.1(e).

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned finds that the "Motion To Compel Discovery Response" (Document No. 27) should be denied because it appears that Defendants have proceeded in good faith in the discovery process and have now provided *pro se* Plaintiff with appropriate discovery responses.

CONCLUSION

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that *pro se* Plaintiff's "Motion To Compel Discovery Response" (Document No. 27) is **DENIED**.

Signed: October 12, 2018

David C. Keesler

United States Magistrate Judge